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**Purpose of review**

Head and neck lymphedema (HNL) is a common and often debilitating cancer treatment effect that is under-researched and ill defined. We examined current literature and reviewed historical treatment approaches. We propose a model for evaluation and treatment of HNL used at The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) for patients with head and neck cancer (HNC).

**Recent findings**

Despite the morbidity associated with HNL in patients with HNC, to our knowledge, no article has been published within the past 18 months whose primary focus is HNL. Eight publications included HNL but only as a secondary focus related to treatment effect, risk of dysphagia, prognostic indicator of underlying disease, and quality of life. A potential benefit of selenium treatment to reduce HNL was reported.

**Summary**

This article highlights the recent literature regarding HNL in patients treated for HNC. Although HNL is reported as a potential complication of HNC treatment, no clear definition of the disease or its management are published. Our early experience using an objective evaluation and treatment protocol holds promise for a better understanding of HNL in patients treated for head and neck malignancy.
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**Introduction**

Lymphedema, swelling caused by impaired tissue drainage as a result of lymphatic dysfunction, has long been recognized as a potentially serious complication of treatment for patients with breast, gynecologic, or genitourinary cancers [1]. Similarly, the lymphedematous arm or leg, swollen genitalia, or truncal edema are common presentations that are routinely encountered and treated by physicians and certified lymphedema therapists. Although lymphedema is also a significant complication of treatment for head and neck cancer (HNC), its presence in this population is generally under-recognized and, in most cases, undertreated. Thus, head and neck lymphedema (HNL) has received much less attention than lymphedema that affects the extremities. This is likely because of several factors. First, HNC makes up only 3–5% of all cancers compared with the incidence of breast, gynecologic, or genitourinary cancers diagnosed each year [2]. Additionally, less than 50% of patients treated for HNC develop HNL [3]. Finally, most patients with complex tumors of the head and neck are treated in large tertiary centers, thus few clinicians routinely encounter HNL [4]. As a result, there is a paucity of literature and data that clearly describe the presentation, evaluation, and management of HNL in patients with HNC.

Lymphedema results when the lymphatic load exceeds the transport capacity of the lymphatic system because of either vascular malformation (primary lymphedema) or acquired damage to the lymphatics (secondary lymphedema) [1]. Thus, inadequate drainage results in an overload of high protein lymphatic fluid within the interstitial tissues. Chronic lymphostasis results in tissue inflammation that increases fibroblast and connective tissue proliferation. As tissue fibrosis increases, functional impairment can worsen. Secondary lymphedema is a common complication of cancer treatment and can be present in the extremities, trunk, genitalia, or head and neck region [1].

**Head and neck cancer and lymphedema**

Clinically, the presentation of lymphedema parallels its level of severity. In the earliest stage, HNL may present as ‘heaviness’ or ‘tightness’ without visible edema. As HNL progresses, it is apparent as a barely noticeable fullness without functional detriment, and can progress to pitting edema that may or may not affect function.
Although rare in patients with HNC, lymphedema can present as grossly disfiguring elephantiasis with severe disability in its final stage.

Similar to other side effects that are associated with the treatment for head and neck tumors, quality of life is often significantly impacted by HNL. The effects of HNL are not simply cosmetic. Significant lymphedema of the face, mouth, and neck can result in substantial functional consequences to communication (speaking, reading, writing, and hearing), alimentation, and respiration [5]. Severe head and neck lymphedema may impede ambulation when vision is impaired. In extreme cases, respiratory obstruction may require tracheotomy [6]. Laryngectomized patients may experience difficulty with stomal access for hygienic purposes, respiration, and management of a tracheoesophageal voice prosthesis. Intra-oral edema and pharyngeal edema can impede swallowing safety and efficiency [7-9], and may mandate a gastrostomy tube for feeding. The psychological effects of facial disfigurement can be grave, including frustration, embarrassment, and depression due to both functional and cosmetic changes [5,10]. The treatment of HNL is essential for the rehabilitation of these deficits and improvement of the patient’s quality of life [10,11]. However, little has been published regarding effective management of HNL.

In addition to speech and swallowing deficits, patients who have been treated for HNC often have reduced cervical range of motion and dysfunction of the arm and shoulder. This further limits the ability to maintain activities of daily living, a common complaint of treated HNC patients [12,13], particularly patients with HNL.

Given the current state of cancer treatment, patients are living longer, either with their disease or disease-free. In either case, the functional sequelae are often severe and may progress as the effects of cancer treatments worsen over time. Additionally, long-term cancer survivors are at risk for cancer recurrence and further treatments that can exacerbate or facilitate the occurrence of HNL. Often, the most severe cases of facial edema present in patients who are at the end of life. The cumulative effects of previous cancer treatments along with a lack of new curative options result in tumor progression that frequently intensifies the edema. Thus the treatment of HNL becomes particularly critical to maximize the patient’s quality of life even if only for a short period of time.

**Treatment**

Historically, manual lymph drainage (MLD) is credited to Danish massage therapist Emil Vodder, PhD, who developed the techniques for treatment of chronic sinusitis in the 1930s [14,15]. MLD is a series of gentle, circular massage strokes that are applied to the skin to promote increased lymphatic flow. Vodder’s techniques were later used to treat a variety of ailments, including lymphedema, and were first published in 1965 [16]. Twenty-seven years later, Foldi and Foldi [17] combined MLD with compression bandaging, simple physical exercise, and skin care to create complete decongestive therapy (CDT), which is widely accepted today as the ‘gold standard’ for the treatment of lymphedema.

Traditional CDT is typically provided by a certified lymphedema therapist in two phases; first an intensive phase of outpatient treatment is provided 3–5 days weekly over a period of 2–4 weeks. Subsequently, the maintenance phase begins as treatment transitions from the outpatient setting to the home environment. The basic components of the program continue to be emphasized; however, the performance of the program becomes the responsibility of the patient or caregiver [18]. Daily adherence to a home treatment program may be required for the remainder of the patient’s life depending on the severity of the edema.

The basic goals of CDT are to decongest the edematous region, prevent refilling of the tissues, and promote improved drainage. MLD relieves the edema, and exercises combined with compression bandaging enhance the movement of lymph to adjacent areas with intact drainage.

**Review of current literature**

A literature review was performed through Pubmed. Initial search terms included ‘lymphedema’ or ‘edema’ combined with one or more of the following: ‘head and neck’, ‘head’, ‘neck’, ‘face’, ‘ear’, ‘tongue’, ‘eyelid’, and ‘lips’. A total of 429 articles were identified, dating back to 1936. For the purpose of this formal review, articles that discussed primary HNL or HNL resulting from diagnoses other than cancer were excluded. Additionally, articles published before June 2008 were also excluded to maintain a focus on recent publications and adhere to journal aims. Our review also excluded any article that was not published in English; however, two English abstracts from foreign publications were included. Therefore, six articles and two abstracts published between June 2008 and December 2009 met criteria. None of the publications we reviewed provided any discussion regarding the management of HNL using CDT. We, therefore, added three key articles that were published prior to June 2008 because of their contribution to current methodologies of CDT management of HNL. We have, therefore, reviewed these articles in addition to the eight publications that met inclusion criteria.

Treatment of HNL with daily dosages of selenium, selen, and sandostatin was reported in two publications [19,20].
These treatments were reported to reduce postradiation edema of the face and neck, as well as endolaryngeal edema in patients with HNC.

Two publications reported HNL as a late toxicity associated with combined regimens of cisplatin and radiation treatment [21,22]. However, the significance of cisplatin as a risk factor for HNL remains unknown.

Three articles, two focusing on issues related to end of life and one that described dysphagia after radiotherapy, briefly list HNL as a potential contributor to reduced quality of life and dysphagia. Although the recommendation to reduce edema was made, no recommendations regarding intervention were provided [8,9,23].

Finally, an interesting article by Chen et al. [24] reported a retrospective chart review of 264 patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Thirty-two patients (12.1%) were identified with facial edema lasting more than 100 days. The authors did not distinguish between lymphedema and general edema in their patient population. No evaluation or treatment strategies were mentioned, but the authors suggested that the presence of long-standing edema was indicative of underlying disease. Analysis of patient records indicated histories of jugular vein thrombosis, absence of lymph nodes, tumor-related vascular compression, and free flaps as the source of the edema.

Three articles published prior to the 18-month review period address the use of CDT techniques in the head and neck region. Piso et al. [7**] demonstrated the reduction of postoperative edema after head and neck surgery using Vodder’s method of MLD and custom compression garments to decongest the trunk, neck, and face, by redirecting lymph to the axillary lymph node beds. The value of MLD in reducing facial edema was again reported in 2006 [25**] after pedicle flap reconstruction of the face and in 2007 [26**] in patients who experienced edema after dental extractions. The focus of intervention was intensive outpatient treatment without carry-over of MLD to the home setting. The use of CDT in the head and neck region has also been documented in European journals that did not meet inclusion criteria for this review [27–29].

M. D. Anderson Cancer Center head and neck lymphedema program

The HNL program at MDACC combines formal evaluation and treatment techniques that are specifically tailored to meet the needs of the presenting patient. A certified lymphedema therapist with specialty training in HNL provides comprehensive evaluation and treatment of patients who are referred for management.

Evaluation

The MDACC HNL evaluation protocol includes patient interview, visual and tactile assessment of the face, neck, and shoulder region, and functional assessments of communication and swallowing. Examination also combines photography, tape measurement, and staging of edema to characterize the overall appearance and severity of the lymphedema. The standard evaluation protocol includes none point-to-point tape measurements of the face and two facial circumference measurements. Seven key facial measurements are totaled to provide a ‘composite facial score’. Figure 1 shows the composite facial measures.

(1) Facial circumference
(a) Diagonal: chin to crown of head
(b) Submentals: <1 cm in front of ear, vertical tape alignment

(2) Point to point
(a) Mandibular angle to mandibular angle
(b) Tragus to tragus
(c) Facial composite
(i) Tragus to mental protuberance
(ii) Tragus to mouth angle
(iii) Mandibular angle to nasal wing
(iv) Mandibular angle to internal eye corner
(v) Mandibular angle to external eye corner
(vi) Mental protuberance to internal eye corner
(vii) Mandibular angle to mental protuberance

Additionally, standard evaluation provides a ‘composite neck score’ that combines the measurements of three neck circumferences. Inferior, medial, and superior neck circumferences are totaled to create a ‘composite neck score’ shown below. The individual neck measurements that generate the ‘composite neck score’ are illustrated photographically in Figure 2.
Neck circumferences

(1) Superior neck: immediately beneath mandible
(2) Medial neck: midway between points 1 and 3
(3) Inferior neck: lowest circumferential level

Additional facial measurements are obtained when severe edema of the lips or eyes is present. Accurate measurements are critical for baseline comparison and documentation of improvement.

Lymphedema staging
In addition to documentation using photography and tape measurement, we characterize the severity and presentation of the HNL based on the traditional Foldi rating scale [1] for extremity edema. Unlike the Foldi scale, the MDACC HNL rating scale captures subtle presentations of edema in patients with HNC. Table 1 delineates the MDACC HNL classification scale.

Treatment
The treatment model used in the Department of Head and Neck Surgery at the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center is based on experience with over 175 new cases, on average, of HNL per year. The program combines a brief outpatient treatment phase with an aggressive home-based treatment regimen performed by the patient or caregiver. Unlike traditional CDT, we promote the daily use of a home program from the onset of treatment. Patients or caregivers who are judged capable of performing a home therapy program are taught to perform basic self-MLD techniques during one or two training sessions. This program is especially suited for patients who cannot participate in prolonged periods of outpatient treatment because of financial, geographic, or transportation restrictions. Despite these limitations, patients with HNL need and have been shown to benefit from self-administered treatment in the home setting when they have been properly trained.

MLD techniques are modified so that patients can easily perform them independently. Decongestive therapy begins in the supraclavicular region and progresses to the trunk, neck, and face. Movement of lymph through anterior or posterior drainage pathways will depend on patterns and extent of scarring. Anterior pathways are generally more usable in patients who have been treated with radiation alone. Patients who have been treated surgically may direct lymph either anteriorly or posteriorly, again depending on the site of resection and subsequent scarring. Techniques that facilitate posterior lymph drainage often require assistance from a second person to help decongest the back. Although modifications to the technique are often possible such that a lack of caregiver assistance does not prohibit the use of posterior drainage pathways, it is important to consider the availability of caregiver support if posterior drainage pathways are required.

Patients with mild-to-moderate HNL generally benefit from either outpatient treatment or a home program as the primary intervention. However, in severe cases of HNL, intensive outpatient treatment combined with a home therapy program is generally most effective.

The use of compression garments or wrapping is a key component of CDT that traditionally is applied after MLD using a flat, even application of pressure to promote continued lymphatic drainage. Once pitting edema is observed, we modify the timing and the type of compression to promote further softening of the tissue prior to
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**Figure 2** Left to right: three neck measurements constitute the ‘composite neck score’
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No visible edema but patient reports heaviness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Soft visible edema; no pitting, reversible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Soft pitting edema; reversible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Firm pitting edema; not reversible; no tissue changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Irreversible; tissue changes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Adapted from the Foldi Lymphedema Rating Scale [1]. The MDACC Scale splits Foldi level 1 into 1a and 1b to reflect the presence of soft nonpitting edema in patients with HNL.
performing MLD. The MDACC technique, unlike traditional CDT, applies compression before and after the MLD, combining the use of irregular and flat compression devices to improve skin elasticity and pliability so that MLD is effective and drainage is enhanced. Standard and custom facial garments are selected to provide further compression as required based on the patient presentation. Cervical and facial range of motion exercises are performed during the compression phase to further facilitate drainage.

Outcomes

Our experience at MDACC with more than 270 patients referred for evaluation and treatment of HNL after HNC treatment [5] suggests that surgically treated patients may experience worse lymphedema than those treated on organ preservation protocols. Furthermore, patients who receive CDT through intensive outpatient settings as well as those who perform self-administered CDT at home benefit from lymphedema therapy that specifically targets the head and neck. Patients who are compliant with recommended treatment regimens have significantly better rates of improvement than patients who are noncompliant with therapy. Optimal results are likely achieved with programs that combine traditional methods of intensive outpatient CDT followed by a home maintenance program.

Conclusion

As the treatment for cancers of the head and neck become more intense, posttreatment toxicities, including lymphedema, will likely become more severe and provide greater challenges for patients and clinicians to manage. There is a growing awareness of the effect of HNL on the patient’s ability to return to an optimal quality of life. Unfortunately, HNL has not been well studied or documented. We provide an evidence-based model for the evaluation and treatment of patients with HNL whose foundation rests on the traditional methods of CDT. Future investigations should establish epidemiologic data and a clear definition of HNL in patients with HNC. In addition, prospective studies should be designed to verify efficacy and provide management guidelines.
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9 This article suggests the potential impact of HNL on swallowing function and quality of life after radiation treatment to the head and neck.
This retrospective review article establishes facial edema as a long-standing consequence of head and neck cancer. The authors provide several possible etiologies including jugular vein thromboses, absence of lymph nodes, tumor-related vascular compression, and free flap reconstruction.

This article describes the trapdoor effect, a bulging elevation of tissues within the boundaries of a semicircular or circular scar common to subcutaneous pedicle flaps, and the usefulness of MLD in reducing lymph drainage, thereby improving the cosmetic deformity.

This article describes facial swelling associated with dental surgery. Authors use MLD to relieve edema and cosmetic impairment.

